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Components of the open cluster system of the Galaxy from kinematic analysis
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The possibility of using open clusters as reference objects in kinematic analysis including the estimation of the distance to the Galactic centre is investigated. This analysis has produced distinctly different results for three components of the Galactic open cluster system: clusters of young, intermediate and old ages. We revealed that kinematic analysis of only young clusters ($lgt < 8.1$), although based on new data, cannot provide even a statistically reliable estimation of $R_0$. Old remote clusters ($lgt > 8.8$) can stabilize the solution but they seem to have a significantly underestimated distance scale (formal estimates of $R_0 \approx 6 \pm 0.7$ kpc).
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1. Introduction

Open clusters (OCs) are traditionally used for kinematic analysis of the Galaxy (see, for example, [1–4]), and in particular for the estimation of the distance to the centre of rotation of Galactic subsystem, i.e. the distance $R_0$ to the Galactic centre (see, for example, [5–7]). However, in the majority of the mentioned studies, combined samples of objects (not only OCs) were used. Such samples are obviously more affected by systematic errors than are homogeneous samples [8]. Only in [5, 6] were homogeneous (i.e. only OCs) samples used, but these latter were very small ($N = 19–34$) owing to strong spatial constraints according to the methods applied. In these studies, either the statistical significance of the obtained results was not estimated [5] or it was considerably overestimated by applying the method of propagation of errors [6], which seems to be inappropriate in this case. In addition, in the last few years the statistics of OC data significantly increased and the OC distance scale was calibrated by comparison with Hipparcos parallaxes [9, 10] or by using statistical parallaxes [4]. All the above was the motivation for this study, the initial purpose of which was to approve separate use of (new) OC data in kinematic analysis, imposing no spatial restrictions on the data sample.
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2. Data on open clusters

Two compiled data samples were used for kinematic analysis. One of these is the compilation of the second version of the homogeneous catalogue of the OC parameters by Loktin et al. [11] (according to Loktin [12], further data have been added) and the new catalogue (version 2.2) of optically visible OCs and candidates by Dias et al. [13]; this sample is denoted LD. In total, 212 OCs contained in both catalogues were identified as being the same. The heliocentric distances $r$ were taken from [11, 12]; the Galactic coordinates $l$ and $b$ and the heliocentric radial velocities $V_r$ were taken from [13].

Figure 1. Distributions of OCs with known distances and radial velocities in the Galactic plane, according to (a) the LD catalogues and (b) the COCD. The $X$ axis is towards $(l, b) = (0^\circ, 0^\circ)$, and the $Y$ axis is towards $(l, b) = (90^\circ, 0^\circ)$. The Sun is at $(X, Y) = (0, 0)$ kpc.
The second data sample COCD consists of 322 OCs from the catalogue of OC data and its extension 1 published by Piskunov et al. [14] with known radial velocities. This sample is referred to as homogeneous in regard to the astrometric and photometric data, the kinematic and photometric criteria of OC member selection and the technique for determination of the cluster parameters.

The distributions of OCs in the Galactic plane are shown in figure 1.

3. Method

We adopted a simple (axisymmetric) model for the radial velocity $V_r$. Assuming the polynomial approximation for the linear velocity of rotation, $\theta(R) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \theta_i (\Delta R)^i$, where $\Delta R = R - R_0$, we obtain the following expression for model of $V_r$:

$$V_{\text{mod}}(l, b, r) = \left( -2A \Delta R + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \theta_i (\Delta R)^i \right) \frac{R_0}{R} \sin l \cos b$$

$$- u_0 \cos l \cos b - v_0 \sin l \cos b - w_0 \sin l. \quad (1)$$

Here $R = R(l, b, r; R_0)$ is the distance from an object to the Galactic axis; $u_0$, $v_0$ and $w_0$ are the components of the peculiar velocity of the Sun relative to the OC subsystem in the directions $(l, b) = (0^\circ, 0^\circ)$, $(l, b) = (90^\circ, 0^\circ)$ and $b = 90^\circ$; $A$ is the Oort constant; $\theta_i$ are the polynomial coefficients. Here $w_0$ is taken to be equal to 8 km s$^{-1}$ [6].

The equation

$$V_r = V_{\text{mod}}(l, b, r) \quad (2)$$

was constructed for each OC with known $l$, $b$, $r$ and $V_r$. A set of equations (2) has been solved by the least-squares technique with a given $n$ for the unknown parameters $R_0$, $A$, $\theta_i$ $(i = 2, \ldots, n)$, $u_0$ and $v_0$. The formal errors of all parameters were derived as projections of the multidimensional confidence region on the corresponding axes of parameters [8, 15, 16]. For optimization of the smoothness of rotation model we applied the technique described in [8, 15]. Objects with large residuals were excluded iteratively according to the algorithm with a flexible boundary depending on the sample volume [8].

4. Results and discussion

The results for the LD and COCD data samples are shown in table 1. Here $\log t$ is the logarithm of the OC age, $N$ is the number of stars (in the case of exclusion of OCs with large residuals there are two values separated by a solidus (/)), $\sigma_0$ is the velocity dispersion; all errors are at the $1\sigma$ level. Two OCs from the COCD were excluded after the first test calculations because of enormous residuals in $V_r$: ASCC 63 ($7.5\sigma$) and NGC 2527 ($6.0\sigma$). (It should be noted that the COCD contains many OCs with large residuals, which seem to be caused by erroneous $V_r$ from observations of only one star.) For most of our OC subsamples the optimal model order $n$ is equal to 1. This result is not unexpected since OCs with necessary data are, in general, within 2 kpc of the Sun.

Usually kinematic analysis is carried out on only OCs of young age (see, for example, [6, 7]). This is reasonable for increasing homogeneity of data and for decreasing velocity dispersion.
However, our $R_0$ estimation from young OCs unexpectedly turned out to be ambiguous, even in the statistical sense (see table 1). This ambiguity is not caused by any kinematic anomalies or systematic errors in the observational data. Numerical simulations verified this enormous uncertainty for the $R_0$ estimate, regardless of the assumption of the axisymmetric rotation of the OC subsystem and lack of random errors in the distances $r$, if young clusters are distributed in such a small vicinity of the Sun as in our samples. Thus, it allows us to conclude the following: without remote objects the OC kinematics cannot provide serious constraints on the value of $R_0$. The close agreement between the $R_0$ values from LD and previous studies (see, for example, [5, 6]) can be explained by the similarity of the contents of the OC samples, and the obtained ‘realistic’ point estimate of $R_0 \approx 8$ kpc should be considered only as a happy accident. The fact that the addition of new OCs to the COCD leads to a dramatic shift in $R_0$ value (see table 1) supports our last conclusion.

The inclusion of LD clusters of old age (which are, in general, rather remote objects in LD) in the sample drastically increases the statistical accuracy of the $R_0$ estimate (up to 10%) with an abrupt switch at $\lg t \approx 8.8$–8.9 (table 1). For OCs of intermediate age the solution is actually absent, although they are not so few in the LD catalogues. However, the value of $R_0 \approx 6 \pm (0.6–0.7)$ kpc from LD samples with old OCs, which are relatively accurate statistically, are obviously underestimated; the current ‘best value’ for $R_0$ is $7.9 \pm 0.2$ kpc [17]. The cause of this result seems to be an underestimation of the distance scale for old OCs.

$R_0$ estimates from COCD samples turned out to be even more uncertain as an essential number of their OCs is very close to the Sun. That is why results from the COCD cannot clarify the problems arising in this study, although they do not contradict the conclusions drawn above.

The rotation curves from OC data for $R_0 = 7.9$ kpc and the angle rotation velocity at the Sun, $\omega_0 = 26.4$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ [18], are shown in figure 2.

The OCs of intermediate age have obviously anomalous kinematics (table 1). This anomaly occurs also at fixed $R_0$ (figure 2). The distance scale for additional OCs of old age included in the COCD seems to be even more underestimated, since their addition results in an extremely large value for the Oort constant $A$ (table 1 and figure 2).
Figure 2. The rotation curves for the OCs from (a) the LD catalogues and (b) the COCD.
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